Results presented in the presentation has been already published in the following paper:

Kwiatek, G., and Y. Ben-Zion (2016). Theoretical limits on detection and analysis of small earthquakes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth* **121**, doi <u>10.1002/2016JB012908</u>.

Please consider referring to the above paper if you find this presentation useful!





# Theoretical limits on detection and analysis of small earthquakes

<u>Grzegorz Kwiatek<sup>1</sup></u> and Yehuda Ben-Zion<sup>2</sup>

 Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 4.2: Geomechanics and Rheology, Potsdam, Germany
University of Southern California, Department of Earth Sciences, Los Angeles, USA



# Study

- Improve understanding of theoretical limits to detection of seismic events
- Clarify limitations for reliable derivation of source characteristics

Can we detect and reliably analyze earthquake in a particular combination of source, path, sensor and noise characteristics?

Can we provide first-order guidelines on designing local/regional seismic networks in various geological environments to reliably estimate the source characteristics?



#### **Simulation parameters**



# Source modelling

- Rupture process described by  $M_0$ ,  $\Delta\sigma$ , and  $V_R$ .
- Rupture propagates radially with constant  $V_R$  and stops abruptly
- Radiation pattern: pure shear and pure tensile failure considered



#### Source characteristics and amplitude/frequency content



• RMS amplitude variations averaged over focal mechanisms and observations points vary between -23dB and +14dB w/r to source with  $\Delta \sigma = 1MPa$  and  $V_R = 0.9V_S$ 



# Influence of attenuation

- Attenuation diminishes the high-frequency content of waves
- Two cases considered:  $Q_P = Q_S$  and  $Q_P = 9/4Q_S$





# Noise

- Low frequency noise from Peterson (1993)
- High-frequency noise from various sites (surface and borehole sensors)





## **Sensor characteristics**

• Different low-frequency cut-off (100s, 4.5Hz, 15Hz)



#### **Results: Detection limits**

• Sample detection limits using *P*-waves, GS11D sensor,  $\Delta \sigma = 1$ MPa and  $V_R = 0.9V_S$ 



## **Results: Attenuation and distance vs frequency content**

• High frequencies suppressed due to attenuation



#### **Results: Should we use P or S wave for detection?**

- Amplitude/frequency content of S phases generally more affected by attenuation
- The smaller & further the event, the less preferable is S phase for detection





#### Summary

- We investigated theoretical limits on detection and analysis of small earthquakes using synthetic seismograms including influence of path, noise and properties of acquisition systems.
- We provide guidelines on designing local-to-regional seismic networks for detection of small events in various geological environments, and information relevant to a reliable analysis of earthquake source properties.



# Conclusions

- The amplitude RMS-averaged over focal mechanisms and observations points vary between -23dB and +14dB with respect to the standard shear source. The *P*-wave amplitudes of a pure tensile source may be enhanced by up to +12dB (unlikely).
- Amplitude/frequency content of waves excited from source is predominantly affected by  $M_W$  and  $\Delta\sigma$ . The rupture velocity and radiation pattern have minor effects. In realistic scenarios, tensile faulting has no significant influence on S/N ratio.
- Distance and attenuation key limiting factors for EQ detectability and analysis of source properties.
- In certain circumstances, stronger attenuation of S waves may favor earthquake detection using P waves.
- Acquisition system characteristics seriously affect the detection and ability to analyze source properties of both small and large earthquakes.



# Thank you for your attention!

**Questions?** 

SSA 2016 Annual meeting, 20-22 April, Reno, Nevada

#### Signal-to-noise ratio calculation

• Bandpass filter 1-1000Hz applied to synthetic trace with superimposed noise

$$\frac{S}{N}[dB] = 20 \log_{10} \frac{\max(V(t))}{\operatorname{rms}(N(t), l)}$$



#### **Results: Source variability vs amplitude**

- RMS maximum ground velocity amplitude vary from -23dB to +14dB w/r to the seismic source with  $\Delta \sigma = 1$ MPa and  $V_R = 0.9V_S$ .
- Pure tensile faulting ehnances RMS *P*-wave radiation by +12dB (unrealistic!)



# Effects of attenuation and distance on frequency content

• Influence of sensor characteristics on low-frequenct part of the spectrum



# Detection limits (aggregated source and path characteristics)

#### • GS11D sensor, *P*-wave



# Motivation



- Detecting smaller events important
  - Increases resolution of monitoring and analyzing seismic processes associated with natural and human-related activities
- Denser networks closer to target source but...
  - Detection limits in various source/path/instrumental effects not well established